Notice of Personal and Corporate Civil and Criminal Liability

last edited 2021-10-13

Notice is hereby given and served, that any and all violations upon the **RIGHTS** of individuals are subject to the following **Laws and Penalties**.

It is hereby noticed that any and all agents of government and or acting as an extension of agents of government or supposed government and or corporate authority, shall and will be held civilly and criminally liable in their personal and corporate capacities.

<u>ALL LIVES MATTER</u> and <u>are therefore priceless</u>, down to every second which might be stolen or otherwise plundered without consent, which includes but is not limited to the **RIGHT** to make fully informed decisions, aka **"CONSENT"**, regarding any and all medical decisions.

The democratic party and their agents have engaged in loudly proclaiming that **"Health Care is a Right"**! This is a **FACT** and as such **MUST** be protected by government and never regulated nor supplied by government. e.g. Government does not have the authority to supply or otherwise provide Guns nor Bibles to the public!

It is well established law that when an agent of government acts within the lawful limitations of his or her office that agent enjoys what is called **limited liability**. However, when such an agent acts outside those limitations, **ALL** limitations of liability (aka. Immunity) vanish completely!

One legal term to be used here is **Jurisdiction**, wherein agents of government do not have Jurisdiction to use their powers to endanger the **Rights and Freedoms** of the people to whom they are charged to protect. To wit, should there be decisions by agents of government made which can and will endanger the **RIGHTS** of an individual, no matter how long a time may pass, such agents can and **will be held** <u>liable</u> to answer and to defend charges both civil and criminal as may apply. As such activities to do damage are far outside the authorities which may be held by such agents, any use of public funds to protect, defend or otherwise invoke an invalid claim of immunity, would also include the criminal misuse of public funds and any other other public resources.

It has long been held that in matters such as these, there is no statute of limitations available to protect or defend such activities involving the abuse of authority as such activities are null and void at their inception even when applied **"under color of law"** (aka an appearance of legitimacy where none exists).

As with President (45), as recently exampled by actions used against our President (45) and his attorneys, any and all attorneys who may participate in such criminal activities would be subject to investigation as co-conspirators and subject to having their homes, offices and properties raided and inspected for additional evidence of their participation in such wrongdoings. Additionally, any and all **"Grants of Immunity"** given to protect those who would also conspire to act in violation of law or **"Under Color of Law"** and/or **"Color of Authority"**, would and are null and void as such activities are simply an expansion of such conspiracies and would constitute an attempt to protect additional co-conspirators.

As evidenced by, but not limited to, such historic documents such as; **"The Magna Carta"**, **"The United States Declaration of Independence"**, **"The Congressional minutes created while enabling the Civil Rights Act"**, **"Proceedings and Rules of the Nuremberg Trials"**, **No Government** in the entire world, **nor its agents**, has the authority to enable acts which have a direct or indirect result of harm nor injury upon its people. In doing so can and will result in the loss all assets and freedoms of those involved, supporting and those who provide aid and "comfort" to such violations which can and will amount to **"Crimes Against Humanity"**!

The United States Declaration of Independence:

"That **to secure these rights**, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed"

Note the use of the words used are: "to secure these rights", not "to plunder, regulate, tax or violate"!

<u>Attempts</u> to convert Constitutional activities into "**Crimes**" are themselves **Criminal** in nature and practice! Such <u>attempts to</u> <u>convert a Constitutional activity into a Crime</u> have been long established to be <u>a civil or criminal violation</u> which can and must be prosecuted under many State, Commonwealth or Federal Authorities.

Nowhere is it stated that any agent of government has the power to endanger the **<u>RIGHTS</u>** of individuals to whom government is charged to protect. As it has well been established that Judges are subject to the loss of immunity (limited liability), so too are

Notice of Personal and Corporate Civil and Criminal Liability Page 1 of 6

such pains and penalties **<u>extended to all other agents of government</u>**.

"That an officer or employee of a State or one of its subdivisions is deemed to be acting under **"color of law"** committed in the fulfillment of the tasks and obligations assigned to him. Monroe v Pape, (1961) US 167.

Actions by state officers and employees, even if unauthorized or in excess of authority, can be actions under **"color of law"** Stringer v Dilger, (1963) 313 F2d 536.

" .. a judge loses all immunity when he acts **in absence of all jurisdiction** ..." Lucarell v McNair, (1972) 453 F2d 836.

In Sparks v. Duval County Ranch, 604 F.2d 976 (1979), the court ruled and determined that; **"No immunity exists for co-conspirators of judge. There is no derivative immunity for extrajudicial actions of fraud, deceit and collusion."**

In Edwards v. Wiley, 374 P.2d 284, the court ruled and determined that; "Judicial officers are not liable for erroneous exercise of judicial powers vested in them, but they are not immune from liability when they act wholly in excess of jurisdiction."

"A prejudiced, biased judge who tries a case deprives a party adversely affected of due process." State v. Begay, 734 P.2d 278.

See DeBaca v. Wilcox, 68 P. 922. The right to a tribunal free from bias and prejudice is based on the **Due Process Clause**. Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and **if the party has been denied of any of his/her property**, then **the judge has engaged in the <u>crime</u> of** interference with interstate commerce; <u>the judge has acted in his/her personal capacity</u> and <u>not in the judge's judicial capacity</u>.

Where <u>the underlying judgment is void</u>, "**'relief is not a discretionary matter; it is mandatory.'**" Orner v. Shalala, 30 F.3d 1307, 1310 (10th Cir. 1994) (quoting V.T.A., Inc. v. Airco, Inc., 597 F.2d 220, 224 n.8 (10th Cir. 1979)).

"The law requires **proof of jurisdiction** to **appear on the record** of **the administrative agency** and **all administrative proceedings**." Hagans v Lavine 415 U. S. 533.Though not specifically alleged, defendant's challenge to subject matter jurisdiction implicitly raised claim that default judgment against him was void and **relief should be granted** under Rule 60(b)(4).Honneus v. Donovan, 93 F.R.D. 433, 436-37 (1982), aff'd, 691 F.2d 1 (1st Cir. 1982).

"Fictions of Law" are incapable of invoking **"Standing"**, a foundational part of **"Jurisdiction"**, as such **"Fictions"** are incapable of testifying on their own behalf! **"Testimony"** by **"Representatives"** is inadmissible as hearsay!

No judge has the power to determine whether he has jurisdiction. <u>He does have the duty to tell when he does not</u>..... What this means to you is that no court can declare that it has the legal power to hear or decide cases, i.e. jurisdiction. <u>Jurisdiction must be proved and on the record</u>. Without sufficient pleadings, without jurisdiction, no court can issue a judgment that isn't void ab initio, void from the beginning, void on its face, a nullity, without force and effect.

The U.S. Supreme Court, in Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S.Ct. 1683,1687 (1974) states "when a state officer acts under a state law in a manner violative of the Federal Constitution, he "comes into conflict with the superior authority of that Constitution, and he is in that case stripped of his official or representative character and is subjected in his person to the consequences of his individual conduct. The State has no power to impart to him any immunity from responsibility to the supreme authority of the United States";

"A judgment is void if a defective petition was filed, "Brown v. Vankeuren, 340 Ill. 118, 122

"<u>Probable cause</u>" to arrest requires a showing that both a <u>crime</u> has been, or is being committed, and that the person sought to be arrested committed the offense." U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 4. "<u>The history of the use, and not infrequent abuse of the power to arrest</u> cautions that a relaxation of <u>the fundamental requirements of probable cause</u> would 'leave law-abiding citizens at the mercy of the officers' whim or caprice."

Wong Sun v.United States, 371 U.S. 471, 479, 9 L.Ed.2d 441, 83 S.Ct. 407 (1963).

The law is clear. Arresting someone in a civil matter is unconstitutional and unlawful,

notwithstanding a fraudulent **"Order for arrest warrant"** issued by purported Judges allegedly acting as Judges. <u>If a person is arrested on less than probable cause</u>, <u>the United States Supreme Court has</u> <u>long recognized that the aggrieved party has a cause of action</u> under 42 U.S.C. §1983 for violation of Fourth Amendment rights. Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547, 87 S.Ct. 1213 (1967). Law Enforcement officers cannot claim "objective reasonableness" in these actions. <u>The law is clearly established</u> <u>regarding arresting and imprisoning a person in a civil matter where there is no probable cause</u>:

NOTE: such as can bee seen with **Mandates**, which constitute **"Specific Performance"** an element in a invitation to **"Contract"** clearly a civil matter which requires fully informed consent absent any and all forms of coercion, including but not limited to threats pertaining to employment, prohibition of access to a place conducting a public business, prohibition of liberty or freedoms! When a **"Fiction of Law"** desires **"Specific Performance"** there must be an offer to pay the party for any such Performance in an amount to be set by the one performing the action. When any **"Offer"** is refused it is a matter of contract Law that there is **NO** obligation to **"Perform"** nor any authority to bring any cause of action for any lack of performance!

e.g.

1. One can not use a **"Contract to commit Murder"** as an instrument to prevent prosecution!

2. It would be equally unlawful to use a **"Non Disclosure Agreement"** as an instrument to be used to cover up **Criminal Activities** or even **Civil Liabilities** which **become Criminal** anyway due to any form of cover up.

3. Therefore, when **"Fictions of Law"** aka **"Corporations"** use their **"Resources"** to plunder and violate the Rights of people, this too constitutes an abuse of **"the corporate privilege"**, which can also be prosecuted as a <u>Civil AND Criminal</u> violation. Civil for the **"Fiction of Law"** and **BOTH Civil <u>AND</u> Criminal** for those wearing the **"Bandit's Mask"**, aka **"Corporate Veil"**!

"WHEREAS, officials and even judges have no immunity" (See, Owen vs. City of Independence, 100 _S Ct. 1398; Maine vs. Thiboutot, 100 S. Ct. 2502; and Hafer vs. Melo, 502 U.S. 21; "<u>officials and judges are deemed to know the law and sworn to uphold the law;</u> officials and judges cannot claim to act in good faith <u>in willful deprivation of law</u>, they certainly cannot plead ignorance of the law, even the Citizen cannot plead ignorance of the law, the courts have ruled there is no such thing as ignorance of the law, it is ludicrous for learned officials and judges to plead ignorance of the law therefore <u>there is no immunity, judicial or otherwise</u>, in <u>matters of rights</u> secured by the Constitution for the United States of America."

See: Title 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983.

"When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464. Immunity for judges does not extend to acts which are clearly outside of their jurisdiction. Bauers v. Heisel, C.A. N.J. 1966, 361 F.2d 581, Cert. Den. 87 S.Ct. 1367, 386 U.S. 1021, 18 L.Ed. 2d 457 (see also Muller v. Wachtel, D.C.N.Y. 1972, 345 F.Supp. 160; Rhodes v. Houston, D.C. Nebr. 1962, 202 F.Supp. 624 affirmed 309 F.2d 959, Cert. den 83 St. 724, 372 U.S. 909, 9 L.Ed. 719, Cert. Den 83 S.Ct. 1282, 383 U.S. 971, 16 L.Ed. 2nd 311, Motion denied 285 F.Supp. 546).

"<u>When acting to enforce a statute</u> and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; <u>courts in</u> administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially". Thompson v. Smith , 154 SE 583.

Why is Thompson v. Smith so? Simple, because **Statutes, Rules, Regulations, Mandates** and all other creations by **"Fictions of Law"** by their agents require **"Specific Performance"** are **"Contractual"** in nature and form! As is fundamental with all contracts, any **"Contract"** is null and void when compelled using coercion. Since government, aka **"Fictions of Law"** are incapable of creating anything which does not already exist, **NO** enforcement can stand which denies a **RIGHT** which already exists! **"Specific Performance"**, **"Contracts"**, relate only to what would, could or should be done, and as such are purely civil and **are barred from authority** absent any uncoersed, fully informed **"Wet Ink" Consent!** <u>Administrative</u> **Proceedings** can be called **"Binding Arbitration"** but only to those who have agreed **"To Contract"** and are governed by the rules applied to the application of all contractual relationships!

<u>Mandates</u> have less authority than <u>Statutes</u> as <u>they can not be lawfully to members of the public</u>, for to do otherwise would constitute **"Involuntary Servitude" (as in a Dictatorship!)!** Even <u>Statutes</u> require <u>CONSENT</u>!

Therefore, **"Fictions of Law" (government and/or corporations)** which are acting lawfully, can be characterized as one might characterize a **"Fire House"**. They are to sit there until called upon to put **OUT** a fire, <u>not to start fires</u>! Even now it can be discovered in **Philadelphia**, houses with a **"Fire Company Medallion"** set upon the face of some houses (and can still be seen in parts of that city). Then and only then would a specific **"Fire Company"** be authorized (<u>Contracted</u>) to put out a fire occurring in that house alone! What we are experiencing today are **"Fictions of Law"** aka **"Corporations"** committing acts of **"Arson"** in order to profit from their misdeeds, using fear to advance their political and financial profit, by intimidating people into contracts they would otherwise find unconscionable (repulsive)!

It should be Noted: If a judge can lose immunity so too can any other agent of government **including** but **NOT LIMITED TO** Presidents!

"No man [or woman] in this country <u>is so high that he is above the law</u>. No officer of the law may <u>set that law at defiance with impunity</u>. All the officers of the government from the highest to the lowest, <u>are creatures of the law</u>, and are bound to obey it."

Butz v. Economou, 98 S. Ct. 2894 (1978); United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. at 220, 1 S. Ct. at 261 (1882)

VOID JUDGMENTS - Secrets of the Legal Industry

Most judgments are not merely voidable, but are in fact **VOID JUDGMENTS**. They can be vacated. Rarely has any authenticated evidence, competent fact witness, <u>or even a valid claim</u> been put before a court and <u>on the record</u>. Defective affidavits, <u>hearsay as evidence</u> and no stated damages are but a few elements that **rob the court of subject matter jurisdiction** (at last count there are over 22 elements that deprive the court of **Subject Matter Jurisdiction**). Some of the elements are: denial of due process, denial of meaningful access to court, fraud upon the court, and fraud upon the court by the court, failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, the accuser is a "Fiction of Law" and is therefore <u>incapable of appearing as required for a valid exercise of Due Process</u>. ("Medical" Mandates, debt, credit card debt, the principals set forth herein apply to virtually all civil and criminal cases.)

Therefore, it must be found that **ONLY** real people are capable of committing acts which violate the Rights and Freedoms of people, and those parties are therefore to be held liable for their actions with **"Fictions of Law"** subject to severe financial penalties to those adversely affected.

Jurisdiction is a reference to **an authority to act** and there is no authority to endanger the **RIGHTS** of individuals in any frame of time. It is also well established law that **agents of government** are **required** to know all facts and consequences of their actions and are therefore can become personally responsible for any and all violations which may occur when acting outside those requirements nullifying any and all excuses of **"not knowing"**, aka **"Ignorance is NO Excuse!"** or the transference of responsibility to others who may have been relied upon for information.

An example would be in the use of **"Warrants"** to justify an **"Arrest"** or **"Prosecution"** when such **"Warrants"** can not be verified as being lawful in nature or scope or Jurisdiction as is common with so called **"Traffic Stops"**! In addressing the unlawful use of **"Police Powers"** to generate revenue, the <u>New Jersey Supreme Court Ruled</u> that Police are **NOT** permitted to stop **EXCEPT** where the commission of a crime has been observed! The **Pennsylvania Courts** have similarly banned the use of **"RADAR"** by municipalities because of their unlawful use of **Police Powers** to generate revenue. Most if not **ALL** Police **"Traffic Stops"** are based upon the <u>unlawful use of police powers to generate revenue</u> under what are called **"Quasi-criminal"** (a political invention) activities used to circumvent Constitutional Protections to gain political and financial profit! ("Quasi" = Fake, Fraud, Like but NOT Real, etc...)

The use of **"Electronic Devices"** has been used as a very profitable means to use **Police Powers** to generate revenue where **NO ACTUAL CRIME** is in existence! Such has been the abusive use of **"RADAR"**, **"Facial Recognition Software"**, **"Tag Scanning Devices"** and other computer related means **"to justify"** to use of **Police Powers** for no other purpose than **to help generate revenue** (a multi Trillion Dollar **Racket**)!

Another example would be the use of **"Police Powers"** to enforce **"Executive Mandates"** which, by definition, **ONLY** have **"Jurisdiction"** over governmental **"Fictions of Law"** and not those people who make up such agencies nor the public! Note the authorities stated herein! The proposed **"Vaccine Passport"** is yet another device intended to circumvent fundamental **RIGHTS** *to be used as instruments of coercion* and *extortion*!

During the early 13th century, the King of England gave his officials **"Immunity"** to **Rape Women on their Wedding Night**, aka **"Right of first Night"**, this was because what passed for **"Jurisdiction"** in those days followed **"Blood Lines"** and **"Celtic Weddings"** were timed to coincide with the peak of a woman's fertility cycle! Thereby creating an opportunity to establish an English Blood line to assert authority upon the Celtic People of Wales, Scotland and Ireland. (Rape was an offense punishable by death). The result was "The Magna Carta" ("The Great Charter" [aka "Contract"]) This lead to the establishment of **"Jury Nullification"** to nullify bad mandates by the "King" and **"Grand Jury Incitements"** used to nullify immunity given to agents

Notice of Personal and Corporate Civil and Criminal Liability Page 4 of 6

of that government! These practices are lawfully valid today, though hidden and aggressively challenged by many judicial officers as an encroachment upon their power to plunder rather than to protect.

President L. B. Johnson (a Democrat) attempted to make certain government agents immune from prosecution or to put it more clearly; **"Above the Law!"** when he tried to Veto the **Civil Rights Act**. That attempt was struck down making it clear at **NO GOVERNMENT AGENT** was to be permitted to act **ABOVE THE LAW!** As was clarified when congress argued and subsequently voted to successfully override that veto! (Had he not vetoed the Civil Rights Act, the clarification would not have been a part of the record! - **MAXIM: "The intent of the Law constitutes the Law!"**

It has become a common practice by government agents to use the **Administrative Court System** to circumvent any and all Constitutional Protections under the **"color of law"** or the pretense of **"Contractual / Franchise Waver" COMPELLED** by statutory enactments. The words to remember are unalienable or inalienable (either word) when referring to the **RIGHTS** and all other Constitutional Protections. This is to say that <u>Statutory attempts are clear and present evidence of frauds and</u> <u>conspiracies to Plunder and Trespass upon the Rights and Protections</u> **GUARANTEED** by Federal, State and Commonwealth Constitutions!

What has been concealed with the abusive use of the **Administrative Court System** is that **"Fictions of Law"** do not have **"Standing"**, a foundational element of **"JURISDICTION"**, to bring any **"Case of Action"** against human being!

Any and **ALL** attempts to refuse to accept or otherwise investigate such limitations are herein after to be considered willful participation in the civil and criminal activities of the otherwise very profitable activities which include but are not limited to **Racketeering and Fraudulent use of political power** all of which must be prosecuted under **State Commonwealth and Federal Civil and Criminal Actions**.

In the Year 2018 (May) the United States Supreme Court has unambiguously ruled that previously held beliefs by lower courts to be invalid where a **"Standard existed"** of **"The Expectation of Privacy"** did not exist in certain circumstances thereby invalidating certain constitutional protections. They unanimously held that the **RIGHTS** of individuals **ARE** to be **VIGOROUSLY PROTECTED** by **all Agents of Government**! Note also that, the use of public funds to defend a personal charge of an **Agent of Government** constitutes an unlawful use of public finds!

It has been consistently held that **ALL** government activities are subject, first to the protections of all **RIGHTS**, and second, that any and **ALL Agents of Government** who violate that mandate <u>are subject to prosecution</u> to the **FULLEST EXTENT** of the **LAW** and are to be held to to a higher standard of knowing and understanding these strict limitations of their government offices! The lack of a Statute of Limitations allows for the prosecution of Agents of Government after Prosecutorial Offices are no longer occupied by any co- conspirators **additionally there is the ability to invalidate any alleged protections**. As evidenced by the arguments for **"Government Immunity"** given by the democrats against the Civil Rights Act!

"Corporations" are "Fictions of Law" are therefore creations of government, and therefore subject to the same limitations as their government "creators"! To do otherwise would be to encourage agents of government to create or MANDATE their creations to do what they themselves are prohibited from doing!

Where agents of **Government**, and/or their **Corporate creations** endeavor to use their **"Color of Authority"** to violate the Rights and Freedoms of people, liability shall attach upon all of those who participate either actively or be failing to oppose such actions! Liability shall attach to such parties, their corporate holding, including but not limited to that which is visible and that which has been concealed, directly or indirectly!

It should be noted that when any corporation acts under the direction of a government agency, that corporation becomes an extension of the government and is subject to the same limitations as the government.

e.g. When "Police" say "We need someone to enter a house and collect evidence" ANY party doing so u,nder that "mandate", becomes subject to the same constitutional limitations that apply to an actual police officer as they then become an instrument of the police department!

Any and all Corporations which shall engage in censorship, concealment, false information or any act which can or may appear to violate the **RIGHTS** and **FREEDOMS** of people, can and shall be held liable as stated herein!

Notice is therefore given that for **every one hour minimum increment** involved, there will be <u>a charge of \$1,000,000.00</u> imposed upon each individual, agency and corporate entity, payable in cash or property, as civil compensation to include but not limited to a <u>48% compounded interest on the unpaid balance</u>, and to also include any and all costs and legal fees incurred in any collection for such civil restitution. For those under the age of 21 years, there will be <u>a greater charge of \$20,000,000.00</u> for each child violated. Since **ALL LIVES** matter and <u>are therefore priceless</u>, this must be considered a bargain.

Additional criminal charges can and will be applied to include the use of Medical Fraud as may apply among any other

criminal acts constituting **Federal and State Felonies**, some of which **REQUIRE** sentencing up to **LIFE IMPRISONMENT**. Additional crimes can and may include but are not limited to; **Assault**, **Kidnapping**, **Extortion**, and **Attempted Murder**, aka actions which can and will shorten ones life or quality thereof, **Armed Assault and Robbery**, can be violations under **"Color of Law"**, and violations under **"Color of Authority"** including violations under laws of **Racketeering Practices**.

See also: 18 U.S.C. § 1938 and 18 U.S.C. § 1040

All of which include but are not limited to violating the **Rules and Principals** of **Due Process**, which include but are not limited to;

1. Executive Orders and or Legislation compelling **"Specific Performance"** absent the **REQUIREMENT** of a fully informed, uncoersed, written in "wet ink consent" of <u>each</u> individual.

2. Executive Orders and or Legislation imposing criminality unlawfully absent **PROOF**, not opinion, of a loss or injury of a **Personal Right**, not corporate, or **Personal Property**, not corporate.

"That which can be asserted without evidence, can be dismissed without evidence"

Any and all criminal charges have the fundamental **<u>REQUIREMENT</u>** that **ONLY** the one who has suffered the loss be **REQUIRED** to appear and testify **<u>subject to the pains and penalties of perjury</u>**. Hearsay parties are prohibited from giving testimony and or evidence.

Any participating agent of government upon whose statements have been relied upon as "authority" for violations to be enumerated or who has provided aid in supporting such violations. Shall be held equally liable to be charged and to be removed from their office or otherwise impeached as such activities shall be deemed to constitute incitement as recently revealed by the two recent presidential impeachment hearings.

Governmental grants of immunity for actions which bring harm or injury to private individuals constitute a blatant exercise in the **abuse of government authority**, aka **"Color of Authority"**, aka **"Color of Law"**, such activities of which can and must be aggressively prosecuted.

Any and all instruments containing signatures of **"Consent"** where **"Full Disclosure"** has been omitted are to be used as evidence of **fraud** and **coercion** and deemed to be the instruments of fraudulent activities they are.

Any action which includes but is not limited to the use of corporate instruments for the practice of censorship, concealment of factual research and disclosure, as well as the support of those engaged in such activities can and will be used as evidence and subject to asset forfeiture in part or totality and to be distributed to those adversely affected.

e.g. Including but not limited to; Media Reporting contrary to Factual Evidence and the misrepresentation thereof, **Social Media Censorship** and similar Internet activities such as with **"Shadow Banning"** of the free exchange of information, opinions and ideas.

Corporations are creations of government and as such can not be created to expand nor violate the limitations already placed upon government. If this were not true, governments could otherwise, easily create, a multitude of corporations to do the bidding of oppressive government with complete immunity. By these fundamental principals **ALL "Fictions of Law"** be they **"Public"**, aka "<u>Municipal</u>", or **"Private"**, aka "<u>Corporate</u>", <u>do not have any direct authority</u> over <u>private individuals</u> absent the <u>fully informed, uncoersed</u>, <u>individually written and signed</u>, <u>in wet ink</u>, <u>consent</u> of said individual(s). **NOTE:** The **"Hiding in Plain Sight"** does not fulfill the requirement of **"Fully informed"**.

Service to any one member of those acting in concert with one another shall constitute a service to all members working in concert with one another. It is an abuse of the <u>corporate privilege</u> to use the "Corporate Veil" to conceal ones liability.

NOTICE is hereby given and SERVED. "Ignorance Is No Excuse!"

Take Notice and Govern Yourselves Accordingly